01			
02			
03			
04			
05			
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
07			
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. CR06-0134-RSL	
09	Plaintiff,	) CASE NO. CR00-0134-RSL	
10	v.	) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. ) MAGISTRATE HIDGE AS TO	
11	JERRY COLIN SOLOMON, JR.	) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ) ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF	
12	Defendant.	) SUPERVISED RELEASE )	
13		)	
14	An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled		
15	before me on February 11, 2013. The United States was represented by AUSA Sarah Kate		
16	Vaughan and the defendant by Kevin Peck. The proceedings were digitally recorded.		
17	Defendant had been sentenced on or about November 6, 2006 by the Honorable Robert		
18	S. Lasnik on a charge of Sexual Assault of a Minor, and sentenced to thirty-three months		
19	custody, three years supervised release. (Dkt. 32.)		
20	The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the		
21	requirements that defendant abstain from alcohol and participate in alcohol treatment, be		
22	prohibited from entering any establishment where alcohol is the primary commodity for sale,		
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -1		

participate in a drug testing program, submit to search, participate in a mental health program/sexual offender treatment program and make reasonable progress therein, have no unsupervised contact with minor children, submit to polygraph examination, follow all other lifestyle restriction or treatment requirements imposed by his therapist, and not possess or use any sexually explicit material.

On September 26, 2008, defendant's supervised release was modified to require satisfactory participation in a residential reentry program for up to 180 days. (Dkt. 34.)

On August 24, 2009, defendant admitted violating the conditions of supervised release by failing to follow the instructions of his probation officer, failing to register with the state sex offender registration agency, and failing to report to his probation officer within 72 hours of release from the federal Bureau of Prisons. (Dkt. 45.) Defendant was sentenced to 10 months in custody, 26 months supervised release. (Dkt. 49.) Additional conditions required the defendant to not go to places within 100 feet of an area where minors are known to frequent, have his residence pre-approved by the probation office, have no direct or indirect contact of any kind with the victim, and reside in a residential reentry center for up to 120 days.

On January 4, 2011, defendant was sentenced to 4 months custody, 20 months supervised release for violating the conditions of supervised release by committing the crime of theft in the 3rd degree. (Dkt. 62.) On August 12, 2011, defendant was sentenced to 10 months custody, 10 months supervised release for failing to truthfully answer all inquiries of the probation officer, having unauthorized contact with a child under the age of 18, perusing material that contains sexually explicit conduct, and failing to comply with the location monitoring program. (Dkt. 76.)

01	On January 11, 2012, the conditions of supervised release were modified to require		
02	defendant to reside in and satisfactorily complete a residential reentry program for up to 18		
03	days. (Dkt. 78.) On April 25, 2012, the conditions of supervise release were against modified t		
04	require residential reentry program participation for up to 180 days. (Dkt. 79.)		
05	In an application dated October 20, 2012 (Dkt. 81, 82), U.S. Probation Officer Moniqu		
06	D. Neal alleged the following violation of the conditions of supervised release:		
07	1. Failing to comply with the Location Monitoring Program on October 20, 2012		
08	in violation of the special condition requiring him to participate in the Location Monitorin		
09	Program home detention component with active GPS technology for a period of 90 days.		
10	In an application dated January 2, 2013 (Dkt. 86, 87), U.S. Probation Officer Steven R		
11	Gregoryk alleged the following violation of the conditions of supervised release, which he		
12	recommended be incorporated with the previously reported violation:		
13	2. Committing the crime of shoplifting (alcohol) on December 19, 2012, in		
14	violation of the general condition of supervision which states that the defendant shall no		
15	commit another federal, state, or local crime.		
16	Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.		
17	Defendant admitted alleged violations 1 and 2 and waived any evidentiary hearing as to		
18	whether they occurred.		
19	I therefore recommend the Court consolidate the violations for hearing, find defendant		
20	violated his supervised release as alleged in violations 1 and 2, and conduct a hearing limited to		
21	the issue of disposition. The next hearing will be set before Judge Lasnik.		
22	Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.		
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS		
	TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -3		

01	DATED this 11th day of February, 2013.		
02		ma od deedu	
03		Mary Alice Theiler	
04		United States Magistrate Judge	
05			
06			
07			
08	cc: District Judge: AUSA:	Honorable Robert S. Lasnik Sarah Kate Vaughan	
09	09 Defendant's attorney: Kevin Peck		
10	Trobution officer.	Wonique D. Wear	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -4		